

RESEARCH

Open Access



Associations of dietary methyl donor nutrients with common psychological conditions (depression, anxiety and stress) among reproductive-aged women in Kabul, Afghanistan

Fawzia Zahidi^{1†}, Hanieh Abbasi^{1†}, Pamela J Surkan² and Leila Azadbakht^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background Higher levels of methyl donor nutrients may be associated with better psychological conditions. Little is known about the association of methyl donor nutrients with psychological conditions among women especially in Asian countries such as Afghanistan.

Method This cross-sectional study was conducted in Kabul, Afghanistan to assess the association of methyl donor nutrients with common psychological conditions (depression, anxiety and stress) among reproductive-aged women using multistage random sampling to choose one health center from each municipality out of four cardinal directions. Finally a sample of 421 reproductive-aged women with a mean BMI of 23.3 ± 5.0 kg/m² and an age range of 15–45 years were collected. All women's dietary intakes were obtained using a 24-recall questionnaire. Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale – 21 Items (DASS-21) was used to assess psychological conditions. Chi-square tests and one-way ANOVAs were performed to assess general characteristics. Residual model test while adjusting for energy intake was used to assess nutrient intake of methyl donor nutrients and food groups. We fitted logistic regression models to assess risk for Common mental health problems (CMHPs) based on methyl donor tertiles.

Result We observed that there is no significant association between methyl donor nutrients and psychological disorders in both crude and adjusted models (depression, OR = 0.95, CI: 0.48; 1.88; anxiety, OR = 0.88, CI: 0.43, 1.79; stress, OR = 0.73, CI: 0.38, 1.40), ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusion Overall, we did not find any significant association between methyl donor nutrients and depression, anxiety and stress.

Keywords Women, Methyl donor nutrients, Depression, Anxiety, Distress

[†]Fawzia Zahidi and Hanieh Abbasi should be considered joint first author.

*Correspondence:
Leila Azadbakht
azadbakhtleila@gmail.com

¹Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box: 1416643931, Tehran, Iran

²Department of International Health, John Hopkins School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

³Diabetes Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Common mental health problems (CMHPs) include psychological stress, anxiety and depression. The global prevalence of depression has been estimated to be 5.0% in adults, 5.7% in the elderly and 3.8% in the total population [1]. Consistently, the prevalence of depression is higher among women than men [1, 2]. In 2015, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported that worldwide nearly 264 million people were suffering from anxiety [1]. According to a meta-analysis conducted in 2019, the global prevalence of distress was 50% in the general population [3].

In a population-based study of mental health and disability among adults in Afghanistan, the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), was 68%, 72%, and 42%, respectively. These mental health problems were more common in women than in men [4]. Mental disorders can lead to other complicated health conditions such as hypertension, heart attacks, obesity and addiction [2, 5].

While many individual factors are associated with mental disorders, dietary patterns may also have a critical role in the incidence and prevention of depression and anxiety [6]. Previous studies have reported that dietary factors such as ω -3 fatty acids [6, 7], proteins, minerals (e.g. zinc, iron, iodine) [7] and methyl donor nutrients (vitamins B2, B6, folate, B12, methionine and betaine) [7, 8] are protective against mental disorders and improve brain functioning.

Studies have shown that methyl donor nutrients play either direct or indirect roles in physiological mechanisms that lead to synaptic plasticity, cholinergic signaling, membrane integrity, DNA and histone methylation, thereby improve brain structure and function [8, 9]. Bjelland et al., determined that high intake of vitamin B12 and folate were associated with lower incidence of depression in Norwegian adults [10]. A meta-analysis on the association of B2, B6 and B12 with the risk of depression found significant inverse associations between dietary intakes of these vitamins and depression in women [11]. Kafeshani et al., in a study of vitamin B6 intake in relation to depression and anxiety among adults in Iran, determined that lower intake of vitamin B6 was associated with increased risk of depression and anxiety among women [12].

To date, while some studies on the association of dietary methyl donor nutrients and common mental disorders exist, they have mostly been conducted in developed countries. In Afghanistan as a post-conflict country there is still a scarcity of data on relation to methyl donor nutrients and mental health problems. Therefore, we aimed to determine how methyl donor nutrients are associated with mental health problems among reproductive-aged women in Kabul, Afghanistan. Moreover, we aimed

to find the association between methyl donor intake and intakes of other food macronutrients and micronutrients as well as the prevalence of different psychological conditions among aforementioned population.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Kabul, a city with more than 160 health facilities and 22 municipalities [13]. We used a multistage random sampling technique, in each zone of Kabul (North, East, West, and South), we first randomly selected one municipality and from each municipality we randomly selected one health centre. Three comprehensive health centers (CHCs) were selected from the 15th (North), 13th (West), and 9th municipalities (East) [14]. One district hospital was selected from the 16th municipality (south) [14]. From these health centers, we took a convenience sample of 421 reproductive-aged women. Equal samples of women of reproductive age ($n=105$) were included from three of the health centres and ($n=106$) from one health center according to the following formula [15]:

$$\alpha=0.05.$$

$$Z=95\% (1.96)$$

$P=47$ ($P=47\%$, the prevalence of overweight among wealthy reproductive-aged women) [16].

$$q=(1-0.47) = (0.53)$$

$$n = \frac{(Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^2 pq}{(r)^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 0.47 \times 0.53}{(0.05)^2} = 382.7 \approx 383$$

For the non-response coverage of the study, we add the 10% to our sample size, which is calculated as 10% of participants = $(10 \times 383)/100 = 38$ people. We then added 38 to our total sample size, $38 + 383 = 421$ participants. An equal number of routine attending women ($n=105$) were sampled from each of the four health centres. Women of reproductive age (15–45 years) who agreed to take part in this study and who were clients of selected clinics, as well as women with no dietary restrictions, were included in this study. We excluded women of reproductive age who had previously been diagnosed with a mental disorder by a psychiatrist.

Assessment of dietary intake

All women's dietary intakes were assessed using a 24-hour recall questionnaire, which is a reliable and validated method [17, 18]. Dietary intakes were collected on three days of the week, on two weekdays and on one weekend day [19]. To facilitate the data collection, interviewers used a variety of tools to determine serving sizes, including can sizes, a chunk of bread that could fit in the palm of a hand, tablespoons, teaspoons, ladles, plates, bowls, glasses, and photographs of common household meals. Portions sizes were estimated based on household

eating/cooking equipment and quantities were reported. Then, the quantities were entered into Nutritionist 4 (NUT4) software for nutrient adequacy analysis and were converted into grams. Total and mean intakes of each food and nutrient consumed were then calculated.

Assessment of anthropometric indices

Anthropometric indices, such as weight, height, waist circumference and body mass index (BMI) were measured and computed for all participants. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height² (m). A calibrated digital scale (SECA 831, Germany) was used for weight measurements. Waist circumference (WC) was measured using an unstretched flexible anthropometric tape at a point midway between the lowest rib and the upper edge of the iliac crest, after normal expiration and without applying pressure to the body surface. We used the World Health Organization (WHO) adult BMI classifications: BMI < 18.5 for low weight, BMI = 18.5 to 24.9 for normal weight, BMI = 25 to 29.9 for overweight, and BMI ≥ 30 for obesity [20].

Assessment of common mental health problems

We used the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale – 21 Items (DASS-21), a set of self-report scales to assess symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress. The DASS-21 scale has one section for depression, another for anxiety and a final section for stress (each section contains seven items) [21]. Depressive symptoms were assessed by evaluating dysphoria (a feeling of general dissatisfaction with life), hopelessness, devaluation of one's life, self-deprecation, lack of interest, anhedonia (inability to experience pleasure), and inertia (a tendency to do nothing). The anxiety component includes autonomic arousal, skeletal muscular responses, and a subjective feelings of anxious affect. The stress component is sensitive to persistent non-specific stimulant levels. The reliability and validity of this instrument have been measured both locally and internationally and is available in 34 languages [22].

The CMHPs have been categorized into three categories: normal, moderate, and severe. The cut-off point for depression is (normal < 13, moderate = 14 to 21, and severe > 22), for anxiety ((normal < 9, moderate = 10 to 14, and severe > 15), and for stress (normal < 18, moderate = 19 to 25, and severe > 26).

Assessment of methyl donor nutrients intake

Dietary intake of methyl donor nutrients (Vitamin B2, B6, folate, B12, methionine, betaine and choline) were calculated using Nutritionist 4 (NUT4) software. The aforementioned nutrients were extracted for each participant and then each of these nutrients were calculated for each individual from the 1st up to the 10th decile. Total scores were derived by summing the scores of each

participant (range 7 to 68) and categorized into tertiles. We considered the cut-off points $Q1 < 23$, $46 < Q2 > 23$ and > 46 for Q3. We then considered demographic variables such as women's age, level of education, monthly household income, marital status, place of residence, history of disease (blood pressure and diabetes) among the tertiles.

Physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ)

The IPAQ is a self-report questionnaire developed in 1998. The reliability and validity of this questionnaire was tested in 12 countries in 2000. The final results suggest that it is an acceptable measure in many countries and in different languages. We used the long form of the IPAQ, which contains four sections (work, cycling/transport, house/garden and leisure) with 27 items [23] and three categories of vigorous, moderate and light physical activity in the last 7 days [24].

Statistical analysis

The quantity of nutrients consumed by each participant was calculated using Nutritionist IV software. The data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Version 26) software. Regarding individuals' general characteristics, Chi-square tests and one-way ANOVAs were performed. To assess nutrient intake of methyl donor nutrients and food groups, we used the residual model test while adjusting for energy intake. We fitted logistic regression models to assess the risk of CMHPs based on methyl donor tertiles. .

Results

We included 421 reproductive-aged women with a mean BMI of 23.3 ± 5.0 kg/m² and an age range of 15–45 years. Approximately 70% of the participants were married. Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the participants according to the tertiles of methyl donor nutrients. Women in the top tertile had significantly higher income $\$278.1 \pm 212.7$ compared to women in the lowest tertile $\$188.2 \pm 173.8$ ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, women in the third tertile had lower weight (55.8 ± 9.7 kg), waist circumferences (33.0 ± 4.2), BMI (23.0 ± 3.7 kg/m²) and age (30.4 ± 8.6 years). In addition, participants in the top tertile were more likely to be married, more educated, and less likely to have diabetes and hypertension.

Table 2 shows the dietary intake of selected nutrients, methyl donor nutrients and food groups. Participants in top tertiles had significantly higher intakes of energy, fat, protein, carbohydrate, vitamins (B2, B6, B9, B12), methionine, betaine, choline, iron, calcium and zinc. In contrast they had lower intakes of cereals and vegetables compared to participants in the first and second tertiles.

Participants in the third tertile of methyl donor nutrients had a lower prevalence of depression, anxiety, and

Table 1 General participant characteristics across tertiles of methyl donor nutrients

Tertiles of methyl donor micronutrients							
Variables	T1 (n= 145)		T2 (n= 137)		T3 (139)		P-value [#]
	N (%)	Mean ± SD*	N (%)	Mean ± SD	N (%)	Mean ± SD	
Age (year)		32.1 ± 9.2		30.5 ± 9.2		30.4 ± 8.6	0.26
Income (\$)		188.2 ± 173.8		260.5 ± 215.0		278.1 ± 212.7	< 0.001
Weight (Kg)		56.3 ± 9.1		58.4 ± 46.4		55.8 ± 9.7	0.711
BMI (kg/m ²)		23.5 ± 4.9		23.5 ± 6.2		23.0 ± 3.7	0.651
Waist circumference (cm)		36 ± 14.6		36.4 ± 21.7		33.0 ± 4.2	0.113
Marital status							
Single	24 (22.4)		46 (43.0)		37 (34.6)		0.020
Married	112 (38.2)		84 (28.7)		97 (33.1)		
Widowed	9 (42.9)		7 (33.3)		5 (23.8)		
Education level > high school	31 (1.3)		44 (32.1)		53 (38.1)		0.028
Settlement area							
East	26 (24.8)		39 (37.1)		40 (38.1)		< 0.001
West	79 (75.2)		23 (21.9)		3 (2.9)		
North	20 (18.9)		37 (34.9)		49 (46.2)		
South	20 (19.0)		38 (36.2)		47 (44.8)		
Illness history							
Diabetes	4 (28.6)		4 (28.6)		6 (42.9)		0.726
Hypertension	17 (51.5)		6 (18.2)		10 (30.3)		0.068
Physical activity							
Moderat	118 (34.6)		108 (31.7)		115 (33.7)		0.637
Intense	27 (33.7)		29 (36.2)		24 (30.0)		

* Number, percentages, mean values and standard deviation, n=421 are presented. The values mean ± standard deviation (SD) were obtained from one way ANOVAs.

[#]P-values were obtained from Chi-Square tests.

stress compared to women in the first tertile (32.2% vs. 37.0%; 32.2% vs. 36.8%; and 31.5% vs. 38.5%, respectively) (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the multivariable-adjusted odds ratios for depression, anxiety and stress by tertile of methyl donor nutrients. We observed that top tertile of methyl donor nutrients do not have significant role against psychological disorders in both crude and adjusted models (depression, OR=0.95, CI: 0.48; 1.88; anxiety, OR=0.88, CI: 0.43, 1.79; stress, OR=0.73, CI: 0.38, 1.40) ($p > 0.05$).

While conducting the linear regression analyses we observed no association between methyl donor nutrients intake and psychological disorders ($p > 0.05$) (Table 5).

Discussion

The current cross-sectional study showed that women within the highest tertile of methyl donor nutrient consumption had significantly higher intakes of protein, carbohydrate, fat, energy, B vitamins (B2, B6, B9, B12), methionine, betaine, choline, iron, zinc and calcium. Conversely, they had lower intakes of cereals and vegetables compared to women in the first and second tertiles.

Consistent with these findings, a cross-sectional study showed that people in the top quartile of methyl donor micronutrient scores (MDMS) had higher intakes of protein and fat [8]. However, they also had lower intakes of energy and carbohydrates [8], which is inconsistent with

our results. Another cross-sectional study showed that people scoring in the highest tertile of B6 and B9 intake, had higher intakes of grains, proteins and carbohydrates, but lower fat intake. People in the top tertile of B12 had higher intakes of grains and protein, but lower intake of carbohydrates [25]. Inconsistent with our study, one cohort study found that people in the highest quantiles of B6, B9 and B12 had lower energy intakes [26].

Our study also showed that the prevalence of stress was higher among women in the highest tertile of methyl donor nutrients compared with women in the first two tertiles. However, we did not find significant inverse association between methyl donor intake and these mental disorders in both crude and adjusted models.

Several other studies are consistent with our findings [25–27]. A cross-sectional study of women showed that neither B6 nor B12 were significantly associated with anxiety. There was also no significant association between B9 and depression, anxiety or psychological distress [25]. Another cross-sectional study among women showed no significant associations between vitamins B6, B9 or B12 with prevalence of depression in adjusted models [26]. In addition, a cross-sectional study of Japanese workers found no significant association between folate intake and depressive symptoms in women [27].

In addition, a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial showed no treatment effect of B12 on

Table 2 Intakes of food groups and nutrients of study participants across the tertiles of methyl nutrients

Tertiles of methyl donor micronutrients					
Variables	RDA ^a	T1 (n = 145) Mean ± SE	T2 (n = 137) Mean ± SE	T3 (139) Mean ± SE	P-value *
Macronutrients					
Energy (Kcal/d)	2000–2200	2056 ± 103	2108 ± 106	2624 ± 106	< 0.001
Fat (g/d)	44–77	46.5 ± 1.3	56.6 ± 1.3	68.3 ± 1.3	< 0.001
Protein (g/d)	46–66	56.2 ± 0.9	67.1 ± 0.9	81.0 ± 0.9	< 0.0001
Carbohydrates (g/d)	130	359.8 ± 4.8	382.4 ± 4.9	374.1 ± 5.0	0.005
Methyl donor nutrients					
Vitamin B2 (mg/d)	1.2	0.4 ± 0.01	0.6 ± 0.01	0.9 ± 0.01	< 0.001
Vitamin B6 (mg/d)	1.4	1.0 ± 0.02	1.2 ± 0.02	1.4 ± 0.02	< 0.001
Vitamin B9 (mg/d)	400	545.8 ± 16.02	653.1 ± 16.44	729.9 ± 16.51	< 0.001
Vitamin B12 (µg/d)	2.4	0.7 ± 0.10	1.4 ± 0.10	2.7 ± 0.10	< 0.001
Methionine (mg/d)	14 mg/Kg	261.1 ± 27.16	505.5 ± 27.89	835.2 ± 28.00	< 0.001
Betaine (g/d)	9–15	75.5 ± 6.10	128.9 ± 6.27	184.8 ± 6.29	< 0.001
Choline (mg/d)	425	435.5 ± 11.47	502.8 ± 11.78	586.7 ± 11.83	< 0.001
Other nutrients					
Iron (mg/d)	15–18	11.3 ± 0.29	12.6 ± 0.30	13.5 ± 0.30	< 0.001
Ca (mg/d)	7000–1000	347.9 ± 9.60	460.9 ± 9.86	605.6 ± 9.90	< 0.001
Zinc (mg/d)	8	5.0 ± 0.09	5.6 ± 0.09	6.1 ± 0.09	< 0.001
Food groups					
Cereals (g/d)		615.2 ± 9.23	604.1 ± 9.48	586.5 ± 9.52	0.099
Grains (g/d)		110.2 ± 8.28	116.8 ± 8.50	136.3 ± 8.53	0.081
Vegetables (g/d)		103.4 ± 7.44	100.3 ± 7.63	86.6 ± 7.67	0.262
Green leafy vegetables (g/d)		22.2 ± 4.71	60.97 ± 4.83	102.3 ± 4.85	< 0.001
Dairy/milk products (g/d)		37.4 ± 4.84	67.1 ± 4.97	95.9 ± 4.99	< 0.001
Meat 9 (g/d)		12.8 ± 3.98	33.7 ± 4.08	76.2 ± 4.10	< 0.001
Nuts (g/d)		1.9 ± 1.50	6.2 ± 1.54	4.0 ± 1.55	0.132
Fruits and Juices (g/d)		33.7 ± 5.92	50.9 ± 6.08	73.5 ± 6.11	< 0.001

*Mean values and standard error, n = 421. All values were adjusted for energy intake (Kcal/d)

*P-values were extracted from Residual Model Tests.

^aRDA refers to the dietary recommended allowance

Table 3 The prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress across tertiles of methyl donor nutrients among study participants*

Tertiles of methyl donor micronutrients					
Variables	Whole population N (%)	T1 (n = 145) N (%)	T2 (n = 137) N (%)	T3 (139) N (%)	P-value**
Depression	332 (78.9)	123 (37.0)	102 (30.7)	107 (32.2)	0.083
Anxiety	342 (81.2)	126 (36.8)	106 (31.0)	110 (32.2)	0.091
Stress	314 (74.6)	121 (38.5)	94 (29.9)	99 (31.5)	0.009

*values refer to numbers and percentages, n = 421

**P-values were obtained from Chi-Square tests.

depression [28]. A Finnish prospective study of middle-aged men did not observe any association between dietary B2, B6 and B12 intake and psychological disorders [29]. Furthermore, a cohort study showed no association between B2, B6, B9 and B12 intake and psychological distress in women aged 36 or 43 years [30]. In accordance with our results, a longitudinal study in older men and women indicated that dietary intakes of B6, B9 and B12 were not linked to depression [31]. A large population-based cross-sectional study of men and women aged 46–49 and 70–74 years in the Hordaland Homocysteine

Study found that plasma choline concentration was not significantly associated with depressive symptoms [32].

Other research has shown results that are inconsistent with our findings. A cross-sectional study of Iranian adults showed that women in the highest quartile of the MDMS had lower odds of anxiety, depression and psychological distress after adjustment for covariates [8]. Another cross-sectional study also indicated a significant inverse association between vitamin B6 and B12 intakes and odds of depression in adjusted models among women. Similarly, it showed that in adjusted models B12

Table 4 Multivariable-adjusted* odds ratios for depression, anxiety and stress across tertiles of methyl donor nutrients

Tertiles of methyl donor micronutrients						
Variables	T1 (n = 145)	T2 (n = 137)		T3 (139)		P-value**
	1	OR	CI	OR	CI	
Depression	1	0.52	0.28, 0.94	0.59	0.32, 1.09	0.103
Crude		0.72	0.38, 1.37	0.95	0.48, 1.88	0.975
Adjusted						
Anxiety	1	0.51	0.27, 0.96	0.57	0.30, 1.07	0.092
Crude		0.72	0.37, 1.42	0.88	0.43, 1.79	0.804
Adjusted						
Stress	1	0.43	0.24, 0.76	0.49	0.27, 0.86	0.720
Crude		0.57	0.31, 1.07	0.73	0.38, 1.40	0.427
Adjusted						

* (Odds Ratios and 95% confidence interval) adjusted for energy intake (Kcal/day), marital status, education level, settlement area, financial level, and BMI

** P-values were obtained from binary logistic regression model.

Table 5 Linear associations of methyl donor nutrients intake in relation to depression, anxiety and stress

Variables	Per tertiles of methyl donor nutrients*			
	Beta	95% CI	P-value**	R-Square
Depression	-0.16	-0.35, 0.03	0.102	0.006
Anxiety	-0.17	-0.37, 0.02	0.009	0.006
Stress	-0.21	-0.39, -0.03	0.013	

*Tertiles of methyl donor nutrients were used as ordinal variables.

**P-values were obtained using linear regression models.

intake was positively associated with the odds of psychological distress [25]. A Finnish prospective study on middle-aged men indicated that there was a significant association between B9 intake and depressive symptoms [29]. Similarly, a cross-sectional study of Korean people aged 65 years and older found that lower folate and vitamin B12 levels may be risk factors for depression in later life [33]. Moreover, a cohort study of British women revealed that B12 intake was linked to psychological distress at age 53 [30]. Another study found some evidence of a reduced risk of depression associated with higher dietary intake of B6 in older women [34]. A meta-analysis also suggest that dietary intake of B2, B6, and B12 may be inversely associated with the risk of depression, an association which was significant in females [11]. inconsistent results between studies may be due to differences in study populations, dietary patterns, study designs, and inadequate control for confounding. Some studies were conducted in elderly people who tend to have poor absorption of some nutrients including B12, which may influence the results [28, 31, 33, 34]. In addition, mental disorders were also assessed in distinct ways, which may explain differences across studies.

The focus of the current study on reproductive-aged women was due to the fact that the prevalence of depression is higher among women according to national and international statistics [35, 36]. In addition, according to the WHO, studies on women are limited [36]. Moreover, women's fertility might be affected by their mental health status [37]. To the best of our knowledge, this is

the first study to examine the association of methyl donor nutrients and mental disorders including stress, anxiety and depression among reproductive-aged women. Previous studies have mostly focused on B group vitamins. In the current study, we extended this research to include methyl donor nutrients, which consist of two other nutrients (choline and betaine) in addition to B2, B6, B9 and B1. Limitations of this study include its cross-sectional nature and the fact that it was conducted only in Kabul city among women of reproductive age in government health centres using the convenience method. Therefore, the results of this study cannot be generalized to the entire population of Afghanistan. Despite having some limitations, the strengths of this study is the use of DASS 21, to measure mental health problems and it is a novel study that represents the association of methyl donor with mental health problems in reproductive age women of Afghanistan.

In the present study, despite the fact that no significant association was found in women, we conclude that methyl donor nutrients may play a protective role against common mental disorders. Further prospective studies and clinical trials are needed to confirm these findings.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

LA and FZ contributed to the study design. PS edited the manuscript. FZ contributed to the statistical analysis. FZ and HA contributed to manuscript drafting and preparing the revision version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (grant number: 99-2-163-49080).

Data Availability

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences with the following ethical approval ID (IR.TUMS.MEDICINE.REC.1399.656). We confirm that all methods carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. For women under the age of 18, written informed consent was obtained from their parents or their guardians.

Consent for publication

All the authors agreed to publish this manuscript in *BMC nutrition*.

Received: 3 February 2023 / Accepted: 14 November 2023

Published online: 23 November 2023

References

1. www.who.int.
2. Fink G. Stress: concepts, definition, and history. Elsevier; 2016.
3. Nochaiwong S, Ruengorn C, Thavorn K, Hutton B, Awiphan R, Phosuya C, et al. Global prevalence of mental health issues among the general population during the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):10173.
4. Cardozo BL, Bilukha OO, Crawford CA, Shaikh I, Wolfe MI, Gerber ML, et al. Mental health, social functioning, and disability in postwar Afghanistan. *JAMA*. 2004;292(5):575–84.
5. Gradus JL. Prevalence and prognosis of stress disorders: a review of the epidemiologic literature. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2017;9:251–60.
6. Sanchez-Villegas A, Martínez-González MA. Diet, a new target to prevent depression? *BMC Med*. 2013;11:3.
7. Bernard JER. Depression: a review of its definition. *MOJ Addict Med Ther*. 2018;2018;5(1):6–7.
8. Lotfi K, Keshteli AH, Saneei P, Afshar H, Esmailzadeh A, Adibi P. Dietary methyl donor micronutrients intake in relation to psychological disorders in adults. *Br J Nutr*. 2021;1–11.
9. Bekdash RA. Neuroprotective effects of Choline and other Methyl Donors. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(12).
10. Bjelland I, Tell GS, Vollset SE, Refsum H, Ueland PM. Folate, vitamin B12, homocysteine, and the MTHFR 677 C->T polymorphism in anxiety and depression: the Hordaland Homocysteine Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(6):618–26.
11. Wu Y, Zhang L, Li S, Zhang D. Associations of dietary vitamin B1, vitamin B2, vitamin B6, and vitamin B12 with the risk of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev*. 2022;80(3):351–66.
12. Kafeshani M, Feizi A, Esmailzadeh A, Keshteli AH, Afshar H, Roohafza H et al. Higher vitamin B(6) intake is associated with lower depression and anxiety risk in women but not in men: a large cross-sectional study. *International journal for vitamin and nutrition research Internationale Zeitschrift für vitamin- und Ernährungsforschung Journal international de Vitaminologie et de nutrition*. 2020;90(5–6):484–92.
13. Government of Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, Kabul Municipality and Ministry of Urban Development Affairs; State of Afghanistan Cities. 2015.
14. Ministry of Public Health. ; A Basic Package of Health Services (BPHS) in Afghanistan. 2009.
15. Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research? *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2013;35(2):121–6.
16. UNICEF. National nutrition survey: Afghanistan. (2013). Kabul: United Nations Children's Fund Retrieved from <https://www.reliefwebint/sites/reliefwebint/files/resources/Report%20NNS%20Afghanistan>. 2014;202013(20):2026-14.
17. Sun Y, Roth DL, Ritchie CS, Burgio KL, Locher JL. Reliability and predictive validity of energy intake measures from the 24-hour dietary recalls of home-bound older adults. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2010;110(5):773–8.
18. Karvetti R-L. Validity of the 24-hour dietary recall. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1985;85(11):1437–42.
19. Salvador Castell G, Serra-Majem L, Ribas-Barba L. What and how much do we eat? 24-hour dietary recall method. *Nutr Hosp*. 2015;31 Suppl 3:46–8.
20. WHO., BMI Classification.
21. Lovibond SHL. P.F., (Manual for the depression anxiety & stress scales. 2nd ed.)Sydney: Psychology Foundation; 1995. [
22. Medvedev ON. Depression anxiety stress scales (DASS-21) in International contexts. *International Handbook of Behavioral Health Assessment*. Springer; 2023. pp. 1–15.
23. Committee IR. Guidelines for data processing and analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)—short and long forms, revised November, 2005. 2005. 2016.
24. Institutet K. International physical activity questionnaire. Retrieved October. 2002;1:2010.
25. Mozaffari H, Darooghegi Mofrad M, Surkan PJ, Askari M, Azadbakht L. Associations between dietary intake of B-vitamins and psychological disorders among Iranian women: a cross-sectional study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2021;24(7):1787–97.
26. Sánchez-Villegas A, Doreste J, Schlatter J, Pla J, Bes-Rastrollo M, Martínez-González MA. Association between folate, vitamin B(6) and vitamin B(12) intake and depression in the SUN cohort study. *J Hum Nutr Dietetics: Official J Br Diet Association*. 2009;22(2):122–33.
27. Miyaki K, Song Y, Htun NC, Tsutsumi A, Hashimoto H, Kawakami N, et al. Folate intake and depressive symptoms in Japanese workers considering SES and job stress factors: J-HOPE study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2012;12:33.
28. Hvas AM, Juul S, Lauritzen L, Nexø E, Ellegaard J. No effect of vitamin B-12 treatment on cognitive function and depression: a randomized placebo controlled study. *J Affect Disord*. 2004;81(3):269–73.
29. Tolmunen T, Voutilainen S, Hintikka J, Rissanen T, Tanskanen A, Viinamäki H, et al. Dietary folate and depressive symptoms are associated in middle-aged Finnish men. *J Nutr*. 2003;133(10):3233–6.
30. Mishra GD, McNaughton SA, O'Connell MA, Prynne CJ, Kuh D. Intake of B vitamins in childhood and adult life in relation to psychological distress among women in a British birth cohort. *Public Health Nutr*. 2009;12(2):166–74.
31. Skarupski KA, Tangney C, Li H, Ouyang B, Evans DA, Morris MC. Longitudinal association of vitamin B-6, folate, and vitamin B-12 with depressive symptoms among older adults over time. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;92(2):330–5.
32. Bjelland I, Tell GS, Vollset SE, Konstantinova S, Ueland PM. Choline in anxiety and depression: the Hordaland Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;90(4):1056–60.
33. Kim JM, Stewart R, Kim SW, Yang SJ, Shin IS, Yoon JS. Predictive value of folate, vitamin B12 and homocysteine levels in late-life depression. *Br J Psychiatry: J Mental Sci*. 2008;192(4):268–74.
34. Gougeon L, Payette H, Morais JA, Gaudreau P, Shatenstein B, Gray-Donald K. Intakes of folate, vitamin B6 and B12 and risk of depression in community-dwelling older adults: the Quebec Longitudinal Study on Nutrition and Aging. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2016;70(3):380–5.
35. Noorbala AA, Bagheri Yazdi SA, Yasamy MT, Mohammad K. Mental health survey of the adult population in Iran. *Br J Psychiatry: J Mental Sci*. 2004;184:70–3.
36. Organization WH. Depression and other Common Mental disorders: Global Health estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization. [; 2017.
37. Rooney KL, Domar AD. The relationship between stress and infertility. *Dialog Clin Neurosci*. 2018;20(1):41–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.